

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/605,768      | 10/24/2003                          | Ronald J. Ranelli    | 202-0553                | 2767             |  |
| 28549           | 7590 08/29/2006                     |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| ARTZ & AR       | •                                   | NGUYEN, CUONG H      |                         |                  |  |
| SOUTHFIELE      | RAPH ROAD, SUITE 250<br>D. MI 48034 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
|                 |                                     |                      | 3661                    |                  |  |
|                 |                                     |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary  |   | Applicatio        | n No.  | Applicant(s)  RANELLI, RONALD J. |             |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/605,76         | 8  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner          |  | Art Unit                         |             |  |  |  |
|  |   | CUONG H           | . NGUYEN                                     | 3661                             |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| 1)  🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24  | 4 October 2003    | . · **<br>}.                                 |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| ,  | <u> </u>  | This action is no | _  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| <i>'</i>   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| ,—   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.               |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| _  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   | 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | ion Papers  |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| _  | The specification is objected to by the Exam  | sinor             |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  | •   |                   | ented or h) objected                         | to by the Evamin                 | vor         |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  |   |                   | •  | • •                              | ED 1 121(d) |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                              |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage           |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| <b>.</b>   | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | • •   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(c)/Mail Date   |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |
|  | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB         |                   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal F | <del></del> _                    | O-152)      |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/30/03</u> .  6) Other:   |   |                   |  |                                  |             |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the IDS received on 10/30/2003, which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application.

#### **Drawings**

3. The formal drawings (received on 10/24/2003) are acceptable for examining purposes.

### Claim Objection

4. Claim 5 is objected for minor error, it should be "... the plurality of vehicle inputs include a vehicle speed".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.
- A. Claim 1 is directed to a control system for a vehicle, comprising vague features:
- "a plurality of vehicle inputs" lacks an antecedent basis (what vehicle inputs are claimed?).

- "the vehicle inputs and a desired path" (normally a driver only inputs original points, destination points, and/or POI etc.) the applicant should point out "how" a driver inputs a desired path as claimed;
- B. Claim 16 is directed to a control system for a vehicle, comprising vague features:
  - "a plurality of vehicle inputs" lacks an antecedent basis (what vehicle inputs are claimed?).
  - a database having driving conditions what are "driving condition"? since there are MANY CONDITIONS while driving (e.g., rush hour traffic, raining, snowing, steep mountain driving, flooding roads, thru. Business road (Main Street) .etc, the examiner needs to have a clarification on this feature.
- "the vehicle inputs and the driving conditions and a desired condition"

  (normally a driver only inputs original points, destination points, driving thru. Cities, driving thru. Country roads, and/or POI etc.) the applicant should points out "how" a driver inputs a desired conditions as claimed;
  - C. Claim 21 has a gap because using GPS is essential to the claimed method of controlling an automotive vehicle, without utilizing a GPS, "generating a vehicle positional signal" and "determining a predicted path ..." cannot be done because this is essential to this application.
- 6. Dependent claims 2-15, and 17-20 are rejected on the same rationales because they incorporated deficiencies from their parent claims 1, and 16.

## **Conclusions**

6. The prior art made of record, which are listed in PTO-892, and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Note: Using a chaos-theory model, or using a linear regression model in comparisons were applied in cited references.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 571-272-6956. The Rightfax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-6759.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Please provide support, with page and line numbers, for any amended or new claim in an effort to help advance prosecution; otherwise any new claim language that is introduced in an amended or new claim may be considered as new matter, especially if the Application is a Jumbo Application.

CUONG H. NGUYEN

Lunghnguyen